

**REMARKS**

5 The Examiner posits that the claims recite three distinct inventions. The Examiner further posits that Applicant has constructively elected Group 1B comprising claim 7 by the original presentation thereof for prosecution on the merits. Claim 7 is allowed.

Applicant herein cancels claims 1-6 and 8-21 without prejudice.

10

Applicant herein adds new claims 23-38, all of which depend from allowed claim 7. These new claims all comprise cancelled claims originally dependent on cancelled claim 1, rewritten so as to depend from allowed claim 7. There is support for these new claims in the specification, as all described embodiments include the features of claim 7. Furthermore, the dependence of these claims from claim 7 is consistent with the multi-dependencies in the claims of the original PCT application.

15

Applicant respectfully posits that pending claims 7 and 23-38 are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner deem it helpful, he is encouraged to contact Applicant's attorney, Michael A. Glenn at (650) 474-8400.

20

Respectfully Submitted,



Jeffrey Brill

Reg. No. 51,198

25

Customer No. 22,862